

The Problem of Religious Diversity and Its Implication on Religious Knowledge

Osagie Sylvester Aimiehinor^a

^a Diocese of Benin, School of Ministry, Nigeria

Keywords: Religious, Diversity, Complex Phenomena, Religious Plurality

Article Information

Received	1 st Jul 2025	Accepted	10 th Sep 2025
Published	30 th Sep 2025		

Abstract

Religious diversity is one of the most complex phenomena that the religious studies and philosophy face. The issue of religious diversity forms the main area of interest in this paper with references to the epistemology of religious knowledge acquisition and theological controversies. That way, it brings out how different religious beliefs influence staking on religious authority, knowledge, as well as the prospect of dialogue between religions. With problems from religious studies, philosophy, and theology at its core, this study critiques ways of overcoming the tension between the presence of religious plurality, on the one hand, and truth claims, on the other, to inform both inter-religious engagements and religious practice.

Introduction

It is important but natural to speak about religious diversity, which means the variety of faiths, Creeds, and Frames people adopt. Christianity, Islam, Judaism and other monotheistic faiths, the Buddhist, Native American spirituality, Hinduism, and several other religions have been expanded to show how people have understood and interacted with the sacred, divine, and the ultimate. However, this plurality raises critical philosophical and theological questions: How does one make heads or tails out of one religion's claim that it is the one true path to salvation while another religion is also claiming exactly the same thing? And can different religions tell us the truth about ourselves and the world in which we live and that is ultimate reality? These questions raise concern on issue of religious diversity and its implications on religious learning.

Epistemological understanding of religious knowledge as JTB with regard to ultimate reality issues arises concerns when viewed in the context of diversity. If two religious beliefs conflict each other's claims, as is usually the case, then does this not negate any one religion's chance at having the truth? This paper focuses on the problem of religious diversity, analyses the consequences of this problem for religious knowledge, and defines perspective approaches to the problem.

Religious Diversity: The Scope of the Problem

❖ Defining Religious Diversity

Religious plurality means the availability of several religions in one society so that every offered religion has its dogmas, practices, and values. These are traditions which are in most cases part and parcel of a given cultural and historical setting. For instance, Christianity centers on the task of salvation through the agency of Jesus Christ, Islam put more emphasis on the obedience to Allah's command as articulated by the Prophet Muhammad and Buddhism is centered on the Four Noble truths and the eight-fold path. Theologian John Hick (1989) called this phenomenon the "plurality of the religious life" and quoted the many types of spiritual practices and beliefs that are found among people of the world.

❖ **Conflicting Claims in Religious Traditions**

One of the central issues of religious diversity is the apparent conflict between the claims of different traditions. For example, Christianity asserts that salvation is achieved through faith in Jesus Christ (John 14:6), while Islam maintains that salvation depends on submission to Allah and following the Five Pillars (Qur'an 2:177). Hinduism, by contrast, offers a polytheistic framework in which liberation (moksha) is achieved through various paths, including devotion (bhakti), knowledge (jnana), and ethical action (karma). These conflicting claims challenge the notion that religious knowledge can be universally valid.

The Implications of Religious Diversity on Religious Knowledge

❖ **Epistemological Challenges**

Religious diversity raises significant epistemological questions about the nature and justification of religious beliefs. If religious traditions offer mutually exclusive accounts of ultimate reality, this creates what philosopher Alvin Plantinga (2000) describes as “doxastic discord.” For instance, if Christianity is true, then Islam and Hinduism cannot simultaneously be true in their doctrinal claims. Such conflicts lead to the problem of religious epistemology: How can one evaluate the truth claims of competing religions?

One possible response to this problem is exclusivism, which asserts that only one religious tradition has access to ultimate truth. For example, Christian exclusivism maintains that salvation is available solely through Christ. While this position provides a clear epistemic framework, it struggles to account for the spiritual experiences and moral insights of other religions. Furthermore, exclusivism is often criticized for fostering intolerance and cultural imperialism (Netland, 1991).

Another response is pluralism, championed by scholars like John Hick, who argue that all major religions are equally valid responses to the same ultimate reality, which he calls the “Real.” Pluralism, however, faces its own challenges, such as the charge of relativism and the difficulty of reconciling doctrinal differences. Critics argue that pluralism may oversimplify religious traditions by reducing them to mere cultural variations of a single truth (Plantinga, 2000).

❖ **Theological Tensions**

Theological tensions also arise from religious diversity, particularly regarding the concept of divine revelation. Most religious traditions claim to possess unique revelations that convey ultimate truths. For example, the Bible, the Qur'an, and the Vedas are all regarded as divinely inspired texts within their respective traditions. However, the coexistence of multiple revelations raises the question of whether divine truth can be fully expressed in human language and culture.

Paul Knitter (2002) argues that interreligious dialogue requires a rethinking of exclusivist theology to embrace a more inclusive perspective. However, such inclusivism often requires significant reinterpretations of traditional doctrines, which may be resisted by adherents committed to orthodoxy.

Responses to the Problem of Religious Diversity

❖ **Interreligious Dialogue**

One promising approach to addressing the problem of religious diversity is interreligious dialogue, which seeks to foster mutual understanding and respect among different traditions. Interreligious dialogue does not aim to resolve theological disagreements but to create spaces for shared learning and collaboration. For example, the Parliament of the World's Religions has provided a platform for representatives of various faiths to discuss issues such as social justice, environmental sustainability, and peacebuilding.

❖ **Philosophical and Comparative Approaches**

Philosophical approaches to religious diversity, such as perennialism and comparative theology, offer valuable insights into the problem of religious knowledge. Perennialism posits that all religions share a common core of spiritual truths, while comparative theology seeks to understand religious diversity by engaging deeply with the texts and practices of other traditions. Francis Clooney (2010), a leading advocate of comparative theology, emphasizes the importance of learning from religious others without abandoning one's own faith commitments.

❖ **Pragmatic Approaches**

Pragmatic approaches to religious diversity focus on the practical implications of religious beliefs rather than their metaphysical truth claims. Philosopher William James (1902) argued that the value of a religious belief lies in its ability to inspire ethical action and personal transformation. In this view, the problem of religious diversity becomes less about resolving doctrinal conflicts and more about fostering coexistence and mutual flourishing.

Expanding the Discussion on Religious Knowledge

❖ **The Problem of Exclusivist Knowledge Claims**

Exclusivism, which posits that only one religious tradition possesses ultimate truth, often leads to epistemological and ethical difficulties in pluralistic societies. When religious groups claim exclusive access to divine truth, they risk alienating those from other traditions. Furthermore, exclusivist claims frequently fail to account for the lived experiences of adherents in other faiths who report profound spiritual encounters and moral transformations comparable to those within the exclusivist framework.

Contemporary scholars like William Lane Craig (2000) have sought to defend exclusivism through robust apologetics, emphasizing the historical and doctrinal uniqueness of Christianity, for instance, while addressing the problem of salvation for non-Christians. However, exclusivism's critics argue that it fosters division rather than dialogue. Sociologist Peter Berger (1999) notes that in increasingly globalized and multicultural contexts, such exclusivist stances often lead to social conflict and undermine efforts toward coexistence.

❖ **Inclusivism: Bridging Differences or Diluting Truth?**

Inclusivism offers a middle ground by acknowledging the validity of other religious traditions while maintaining the ultimate superiority of one's own. For example, Catholic theologian Karl Rahner's concept of the "anonymous Christian" suggests that individuals outside the Christian faith may achieve salvation through Christ, even if they do not explicitly identify as Christians. While inclusivism seeks to affirm the universality of divine grace, critics argue that it can appear condescending by relegating other faiths to a secondary or derivative status.

❖ **Pluralism and the Epistemic Challenge**

Pluralism, particularly as articulated by John Hick, posits that all major religions provide valid, albeit culturally conditioned, paths to the same ultimate reality. While pluralism promotes interfaith harmony, it faces significant philosophical and theological challenges. For instance, the idea that all religions are equally valid expressions of the same reality requires reinterpreting or downplaying significant doctrinal differences. Critics like Stephen Prothero (2010) argue that pluralism risks trivializing the distinctiveness of religious traditions, turning them into mere variations of a single truth rather than unique responses to existential questions.

Practical Implications of Religious Diversity on Interfaith Engagement

❖ Education and Religious Literacy

One of the most pressing practical implications of religious diversity is the need for increased religious literacy in education. Teaching about world religions in schools and universities can help foster mutual understanding and reduce prejudice. Diane Moore (2007) emphasizes that education about religion should avoid proselytizing and instead focus on helping students appreciate the complex ways in which religions shape cultures and identities.

❖ Policy and Governance in Pluralistic Societies

Governments in pluralistic societies face the challenge of creating policies that respect religious diversity while maintaining secular governance. For instance, debates over religious symbols in public spaces, such as the hijab or the display of Christian crosses, highlight the tension between individual freedoms and communal values. The principle of religious neutrality, as advocated by political theorists like Charles Taylor (2007), can provide a framework for balancing these competing interests.

❖ Interfaith Collaboration on Global Challenges

Religious diversity also offers opportunities for collaboration on global issues such as climate change, poverty, and conflict resolution. Interfaith initiatives, such as the United Nations' "Faith for Earth" program, demonstrate the potential for religious communities to unite around shared ethical commitments. By focusing on common values such as compassion, justice, and stewardship, religious traditions can contribute to addressing urgent global challenges.

Future Directions in the Study of Religious Diversity

❖ Integrating Scientific Perspectives

Recent advances in cognitive science and evolutionary psychology offer new insights into the origins and functions of religious belief. Researchers such as Pascal Boyer (2001) argue that religious diversity can be partly explained by the human brain's predisposition to interpret the world in terms of agency and purpose. While such findings do not resolve theological debates, they provide a naturalistic framework for understanding the ubiquity and variability of religious beliefs.

❖ Technology and the Digital Age

The digital age has profoundly impacted religious diversity and knowledge. Online platforms allow for unprecedented access to religious texts, teachings, and interfaith discussions. However, the rise of social media has also led to the proliferation of misinformation and extremist ideologies. Future research must explore how technology can be harnessed to promote authentic interfaith engagement while mitigating its potential for harm.

❖ Emerging Theologies of Pluralism

New theological approaches to pluralism are emerging in response to the challenges of religious diversity. For example, theologians like S. Mark Heim (2001) advocate a "theology of multiple religious ends," which recognizes that different religions may offer distinct and equally valid paths to salvation or ultimate fulfillment. Such frameworks encourage dialogue without forcing uniformity, allowing for a richer and more nuanced understanding of religious diversity.

Conclusion

The problem of religious diversity and its implications for religious knowledge is a complex and multifaceted issue that touches on epistemology, theology, ethics, and practical life. While exclusivism, inclusivism, and pluralism offer competing responses, none fully resolves the tensions inherent in religious diversity. Nevertheless, these tensions also present opportunities for growth, dialogue, and collaboration.

In an increasingly interconnected world, religious traditions must find ways to coexist without compromising their distinct identities. This requires humility, openness to other perspectives, and a willingness to engage in meaningful dialogue. By embracing the challenges of religious diversity, humanity can move closer to a deeper understanding of the sacred and a more harmonious global community.

References

- Berger, P. L. (1999). *The desecularization of the world: Resurgent religion and world politics*. Eerdmans.
- Boyer, P. (2001). *Religion explained: The evolutionary origins of religious thought*. Basic Books.
- Craig, W. L. (2000). *Reasonable faith: Christian truth and apologetics*. Crossway.
- Heim, S. M. (2001). *The depth of the riches: A Trinitarian theology of religious ends*. Eerdmans.
- Moore, D. L. (2007). *Overcoming religious illiteracy: A cultural studies approach to the study of religion in secondary education*. Palgrave Macmillan.
- Prothero, S. (2010). *God is not one: The eight rival religions that run the world—and why their differences matter*. HarperOne.
- Taylor, C. (2007). *A secular age*. Harvard University Press.
- Clooney, F. X. (2010). *Comparative theology: Deep learning across religious borders*. Wiley-Blackwell.
- Hick, J. (1989). *An interpretation of religion: Human responses to the transcendent*. Yale University Press.
- James, W. (1902). *The varieties of religious experience: A study in human nature*. Longmans, Green & Co.
- Knitter, P. (2002). *Introducing theologies of religions*. Orbis Books.
- Netland, H. A. (1991). *Dissonant voices: Religious pluralism and the question of truth*. Eerdmans.
- Plantinga, A. (2000). *Warranted Christian belief*. Oxford University Press.

Article Information and Declarations

Declarations
Author's Contribution:
▪ Conceptualization, and intellectual revisions, Data collection, interpretation, and drafting of manuscript ▪ The author agrees to take responsibility for every facet of the work, making sure that any concerns about its integrity or veracity are thoroughly examined and addressed
Conflict of Interest: NIL
Funding Sources: NIL
Correspondence:
Osagie Sylvester Aimiehinor
fatherosas@yahoo.com
How to Cite:
The Problem of Religious Diversity and Its Implication on Religious Knowledge. (2025). Wah Academia Journal of Global Religions, 1(2), 23-28. https://doi.org/10.63954/e74e8728
Open Access: Publication is Open Access
Licensing: Creative Commons Attribution License - CC BY- 4.0
Copyrights: The author retains unrestricted copyrights and publishing rights