

Religion and Sustainable Development: Exploring the Role of Faith-Based Communities

Dr. Pooja Gupta ^a

^a Associate Professor, Department of Business Studies, Panipat Institute of Engineering and Technology – India

Keywords: religion, sustainable development, faith-based organizations, social capital, eco-theology

Article Information			
Received	19 th Jun 2026	Accepted	21 th Aug 2026
Published	30 th Sep 2026		

Abstract

Sustainable development, framed globally through the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), has traditionally been analyzed through secular institutional lenses — states, markets, and civil society organizations. Yet an estimated majority of the world's population identifies with a religious tradition, and faith-based communities constitute some of the most extensive, trusted, and enduring social networks in many societies. This paper reviews social science literature on the role of religion and faith-based organizations (FBOs) in sustainable development, addressing service delivery, social capital formation, environmental stewardship, and moral and political advocacy. Drawing on social capital theory, the de-secularization thesis in development studies, and eco-theological scholarship, the paper examines both the demonstrated contributions of faith communities to development outcomes and the critiques leveled against faith-based development practice, including concerns about exclusion, paternalism, and the instrumentalization of religion. The paper concludes with an integrative framework for understanding faith-development linkages and a research agenda for future empirical inquiry.

Introduction

For much of the twentieth century, mainstream development theory operated on an implicit secularization thesis: as societies modernized, religion was expected to recede from public life, including from the domain of development policy and practice. International development institutions largely treated religion as a private matter, peripheral to the technical work of poverty reduction, health provision, and economic growth. Since the early 2000s, however, a substantial body of scholarship — often termed the 'religious turn' in development studies — has challenged this assumption, documenting the extensive and often underrecognized role that faith-based communities play in delivering services, mobilizing social capital, and shaping development outcomes, particularly in regions where state capacity is limited.

The adoption of the UN Sustainable Development Goals in 2015 renewed attention to the potential contributions of religious actors, given the SDGs' broad scope spanning poverty, health, education, gender equality, and environmental sustainability — domains in which faith communities have long-standing institutional presence. This paper synthesizes research on the relationship between religion and sustainable development, focusing specifically on the role of faith-based communities and organizations as development actors, while also engaging critically with the limitations and controversies associated with faith-based development practice.

Theoretical Frameworks

❖ Social Capital Theory

Putnam's influential account of social capital — the networks, norms, and trust that facilitate collective action — provides a foundational lens for understanding faith communities' development contributions (Putnam, 2000). Religious congregations are frequently cited as among the densest sites of bonding social capital (trust and reciprocity within a group) and, in ecumenical or interfaith contexts, bridging social capital (connections across different social groups). This capacity for mobilizing collective trust and voluntary action underlies much of faith communities' practical development role, from disaster response to community health initiatives (Clarke, 2006; Marshall & Van Saanen, 2007).

❖ The 'Religious Turn' and Critiques of Secular Development Orthodoxy

Scholars such as Ver Beek and Marshall have argued that mainstream development institutions historically exhibited a 'secular reticence' toward engaging religious actors, despite religion's demonstrable salience in the lives of target populations (Marshall & Van Saanen, 2007; Ver Beek, 2000). This critique gave rise to a body of research examining faith-based organizations (FBOs) as distinct development actors with characteristics — moral authority, volunteer mobilization capacity, embeddedness in local communities, and longevity — that can differ meaningfully from secular non-governmental organizations (Clarke, 2006; Haynes, 2007; Tomalin, 2013).

❖ Eco-Theology and Religious Environmentalism

A parallel and increasingly prominent literature examines the environmental dimension of religious traditions, often termed eco-theology or religious environmentalism (White, 1967). Scholars in this field trace how religious texts, cosmologies, and ethical traditions across multiple faiths articulate concepts of stewardship, sacred nature, and intergenerational responsibility that can be mobilized in support of environmental sustainability. This scholarship has gained institutional recognition, reflected in initiatives such as the UN Environment Program's Faith for Earth initiative, which explicitly engages religious leaders and institutions as environmental stakeholders (United Nations Environment Program, n.d.).

Dimensions of Faith-Based Community Engagement in Development

❖ Direct Service Delivery

Faith-based organizations operate a substantial share of health clinics, schools, and social welfare services globally, particularly in low-resource settings where state provision is limited (Clarke, 2006; Haynes, 2007; Tomalin, 2013). Research in health systems literature has documented the significant proportion of healthcare infrastructure in parts of sub-Saharan Africa attributable to religious mission organizations, underscoring the practical development footprint of faith communities beyond their spiritual functions.

❖ Social Capital Mobilization and Community Resilience

Beyond formal service provision, faith communities function as informal safety nets, mobilizing volunteer labor, material resources, and psychosocial support during crises (Clarke, 2006; Marshall & Van Saanen, 2007). Studies of disaster response and community resilience frequently identify local congregations as first-responder institutions, given their pre-existing organizational infrastructure, trusted leadership, and physical facilities that can be rapidly repurposed for relief efforts.

❖ Environmental Stewardship and Climate Advocacy

Religious institutions have increasingly engaged in environmental advocacy, ranging from formal statements — such as papal and other religious leadership encyclicals addressing ecological responsibility — to grassroots congregation-level conservation and sustainable agriculture initiatives (Tomalin et al., 2019;

White, 1967). Interfaith environmental coalitions have also emerged as vehicles for climate advocacy, leveraging religious moral authority to reach constituencies that might be less responsive to purely scientific or secular environmental messaging (Tomalin et al., 2019).

❖ **Moral Authority and Policy Advocacy**

Faith leaders often possess distinct forms of moral and social authority that can be mobilized for advocacy on development-related policy issues, including debt relief campaigns, anti-corruption initiatives, and human rights advocacy (Haynes, 2007; Marshall & Van Saanen, 2007). This dimension positions faith communities not merely as service providers but as political and civic actors within development governance, with the capacity to shape public discourse and hold state and market actors accountable (Clarke, 2006; Haynes, 2007).

Critiques and Tensions in Faith-Based Development

The literature is not uniformly positive regarding faith-based development engagement, and a balanced review requires attention to several persistent critiques (Clarke, 2006; Haynes, 2007; Tomalin, 2013):

- Exclusion and discrimination: faith-based service provision can, in some contexts, exclude or disadvantage religious minorities, non-adherents, or groups whose identities conflict with a sponsoring organization's doctrinal positions, particularly regarding gender and sexuality (Tomalin, 2013).
- Proselytization concerns: critics have raised concerns that some faith-based development activity is conditioned on or oriented toward religious conversion, raising ethical questions about the voluntariness of aid recipients' engagement (Clarke, 2006; Haynes, 2007).
- Accountability and governance: faith-based organizations vary widely in transparency and accountability structures, and their embeddedness in religious hierarchies can complicate standard development-sector governance and evaluation practices (Clarke, 2006; Tomalin, 2013).
- Instrumentalization risk: scholars caution against development institutions engaging religious actors purely as convenient delivery channels ('religion as tool') without genuine engagement with the theological and community logics that motivate faith-based action, which can undermine both effectiveness and community trust (Clarke, 2006; Tomalin, 2013).
- Doctrinal diversity and internal contestation: religious traditions are internally heterogeneous, and faith communities can be sites of both progressive social mobilization and resistance to development priorities such as gender equality or reproductive health, complicating generalizations about religion's development role (Haynes, 2007; Tomalin, 2013).

Moderating Factors

- State-religion relations: the degree of formal separation or entanglement between religious institutions and the state shapes the political space available for faith-based development activity (Haynes, 2007).
- Religious pluralism and interfaith relations: societies with greater interfaith cooperation infrastructure tend to see more effective faith-based coalition building around shared development goals, such as environmental advocacy (Tomalin et al., 2019).
- Organizational maturity and professionalization: the degree to which an FBO has adopted standard development-sector monitoring, evaluation, and governance practices influences its integration into mainstream development partnerships (Clarke, 2006; Marshall & Van Saanen, 2007).
- Gender dynamics within religious institutions: the roles available to women within a given faith tradition's institutional structures shape the extent to which faith-based development activity advances or constrains gender-related SDG targets (Tomalin, 2013; Tomalin et al., 2019).

Toward an Integrative Framework

Synthesizing the literature reviewed, this paper proposes that faith-based communities' contribution to sustainable development operates through four interlocking channels: material service provision, social capital mobilization, moral and political advocacy, and theologically grounded environmental ethics (Clarke, 2006; Marshall & Van Saanen, 2007; Tomalin, 2013; Tomalin et al., 2019). The net developmental impact of any given faith community's engagement is shaped by its internal doctrinal orientation, its relationship to state and secular development institutions, its governance and accountability structures, and the degree of religious pluralism within its operating context. This framework treats religion neither as an inherently pro-development force nor as an obstacle to modernization, but as a heterogeneous set of institutions and belief systems whose developmental role is contingent on specific organizational and contextual factors (Haynes, 2007; Tomalin, 2013).

Methodological Considerations for Future Research

- Comparative case study designs examining faith-based development engagement across different religious traditions and regional contexts, to avoid overgeneralizing from single-tradition or single-region studies.
- Mixed-methods approaches combining quantitative service-delivery data with qualitative research on community trust, legitimacy, and lived experience of faith-based development interventions.
- Participatory research designs that include marginalized groups' perspectives on faith-based service provision, addressing the exclusion critique through direct engagement with affected communities.
- Longitudinal research on interfaith environmental coalitions, given the relatively recent emergence of formalized religious climate advocacy and the limited longitudinal evidence currently available on its policy impact.

Practical Implications

For development practitioners and multilateral institutions, the review suggests that meaningful engagement with faith-based communities requires moving beyond instrumental partnership models toward genuine institutional dialogue that respects religious communities' own motivations and governance structures, while maintaining clear safeguards against discriminatory service provision (Clarke, 2006; Marshall & Van Saanen, 2007). For faith-based organizations themselves, the literature suggests that professionalization of governance and transparency practices can strengthen both effectiveness and eligibility for partnership with secular development institutions and donors (Clarke, 2006; Tomalin, 2013). For researchers and policymakers engaged with the SDGs, the review underscores the value of disaggregating 'religion' into its specific institutional, theological, and community forms rather than treating it as a monolithic variable in development analysis (Tomalin, 2013; Tomalin et al., 2019).

Limitations and Future Directions

This paper offers a conceptual synthesis rather than new empirical data, and the integrative framework proposed requires testing across diverse religious and regional contexts. Additionally, the literature on religion and development remains unevenly distributed across faith traditions, with disproportionate scholarly attention to Christian and, to a lesser extent, Islamic faith-based organizations relative to other religious traditions' development engagement (Tomalin, 2013). Future research should work to address this imbalance, and should further examine how religious digital media and transnational faith networks are reshaping the scale and form of faith-based development engagement in an increasingly interconnected global context.

Conclusion

Faith-based communities occupy a significant, if historically underrecognized, place within the institutional landscape of sustainable development, contributing through service delivery, social capital mobilization, environmental advocacy, and moral authority (Clarke, 2006; Haynes, 2007; Tomalin, 2013). A rigorous social science engagement with this role requires holding two things simultaneously: recognition of faith communities' substantial and often distinctive development contributions, and clear-eyed attention to the exclusionary, governance, and instrumentalization risks that can accompany faith-based development practice (Clarke, 2006; Tomalin, 2013). As global development increasingly emphasizes locally embedded and community-driven approaches, understanding the specific conditions under which faith-based engagement advances — rather than constrains — sustainable development goals remains a vital and unfinished research agenda (Tomalin et al., 2019).

References

- Clarke, G. (2006). Faith matters: Faith-based organizations, civil society and international development. *Journal of International Development*, 18(6), 835–848.
- Haynes, J. (2007). *Religion and development: Conflict or cooperation?* Palgrave Macmillan.
- Marshall, K., & Van Saanen, M. (2007). *Development and faith: Where mind, heart, and soul work together*. World Bank.
- Putnam, R. D. (2000). *Bowling alone: The collapse and revival of American community*. Simon & Schuster.
- Tomalin, E. (2013). *Religions and development*. Routledge.
- Tomalin, E., Haustein, J., & Kidy, S. (2019). Religion and the Sustainable Development Goals. *The Review of Faith & International Affairs*, 17(2), 102–118.
- United Nations Environment Program. (n.d.). Faith for Earth initiative.
- Ver Beek, K. A. (2000). Spirituality: A development taboo. *Development in Practice*, 10(1), 31–43.
- White, L. (1967). The historical roots of our ecologic crisis. *Science*, 155(3767), 1203–1207.

Article Information and Declarations

Declarations
Author's Contribution:
▪ Conceptualization, and intellectual revisions, Data collection, interpretation, and drafting of manuscript ▪ The author agrees to take responsibility for every facet of the work, making sure that any concerns about its integrity or veracity are thoroughly examined and addressed
Conflict of Interest: NIL
Funding Sources: NIL
Correspondence:
Dr. Pooja Gupta
Poojaguptaindia1@gmail.com
How to Cite: Religion and Sustainable Development: Exploring the Role of Faith-Based Communities. (n.d.). Wah Academia Journal of Global Religions, 2(3), 48-53. https://doi.org/10.63954/nmgrqw77 Open Access: Publication is Open Access Licensing: Creative Commons Attribution License - CC BY- 4.0 Copyrights: The author retains unrestricted copyrights and publishing rights